



ASPRS REPORT FORM

INSTRUCTIONS: Please try to keep the report to this one page. If you are only reporting/updating on your entity's activities, attach no more than two (2) written pages to this form. If you are reporting on a recommendation for action or policy change, please attach supporting documentation to your response to Question #4. Reports resulting from a meeting held during the conference must be dropped off at the on-site ASPRS staff office the day preceding the Board meeting. **Please submit an electronic copy for web site posting.**

1. Report Category (check one and identify by name):

- Committee: _____
 Division: Professional Practice Division
 Division Technical Committee: _____
 Region: _____

2. Report Type (check one):

- Reporting/Updating of Activities
 Recommending Action
 Recommending Policy Change

If recommending action or policy change:

Is recommendation result of a meeting? Yes No

If yes, was a quorum present? Yes No

Recorded vote on recommendation: _____ For _____ Against _____ Abstentions

Date of meeting: _____

3. Title of issue/area reporting (example: Proposal for Affiliate Membership Category):

***See attached document for summary report.**

For detailed reports of PPD, Licensure Subcommittee, and Standards Subcommittee activities, please visit the PPD website: <http://www.asprs.org/society/divisions/ppd/>

4. Brief background information and possible impact of issue/area (examples: Why is it an issue? Will funding, staff utilization, services and/or facility changes be necessary? How much will it cost? What are projected revenues? Are there alternatives? Please be brief, but attachments may be submitted when necessary):

5. Specific recommendation for Executive Committee/Board of Directors action, if any (state in the form of a motion(s) to be acted upon): *NONE*

6. Report completed by:

Name (printed): Doug Smith _____

Signature: _____

Title: Assistant Director

Date: May 10, 2007

Professional Practice Division Report

The Professional Practice Division (PPD) reports the following summary of activities for the period November, 2006 through April 2007:

Licensure Committee (Committee Chair- Doug Fuller)

- Committee report: No new activity or updates on licensing have been reported to the Licensing Committee since November.
- Doug Smith reported that MAPPS and ASPRS opposed Washington State legislation that did not follow the Model Law/Model Rules guidelines
- The Hot Topic discussions identified that New York is considering legislation that appears to follow Model Law/Model Rules guidelines; Illinois may exclude photogrammetry. Doug Fuller requested that those laws be provided to him for review. Dan Paulsen is still planning to prepare a summary list of state by state status on the web page; this information will be provided to him to help with that effort.

Licensure Exam Development Committee:

Committee Chair- Mike Renslow.

- Currently have enough questions for 2 exams. Committee will meet again in Ottawa with the goal of expanding the question bank to support 3 exams. Meetings will be scaled back to Spring conferences only for maintenance of the question bank.
- Final Cut Score Evaluation took place May 8 at the Tampa conference.
- Exams will potentially be ready by October and should be available for April exams for sure. The final schedule for distribution for state use is up to the NCEES.
- States will need to request exam on an individual basis -- each test issued will be unique to that individual.

Standards Committee:

Committee Chair-Rick Pearsall, Committee Member- Gary Higgs

- Gary Higgs reported that ballots have been reviewed and cast on 11 national/international standards related issues; these can be found from links on the PPD web page.
- The LiDAR LAS standard has been accepted as a work item by the L1 INCITS Committee. Once the standard is finalized and accepted by the ASPRS, it will be moved forward to begin the process for adopting it as an ANSI standard.
- Increased participation and assistance from ASPRS members is needed to help with standards review. There were several suggestions on how to improve that process. One option is to have an area of interest/volunteer check box on the Membership renewal form. Rick Pearsall is going to prepare a proposal identifying specific recommendations for a more formal process to better involve members and get more help with this important task.
- Rick Pearsall proposed possibly pursuing increasing ASPRS participation in OGC. Rick will research costs and possible levels of participation and submit specific recommendations.
- There has been some discussion between the Standards Committee and Divisions regarding possibly restructuring the Standards Committee as a separate standing committee. Standards issues are now very broad based and no longer primarily a Professional Practices issue. Rick Pearsall is looking at options and will prepare a proposal with specific recommendations.

Professional Definitions Workgroup:

- See status report included in Board book.