



# MAPPING MATTERS

## YOUR QUESTIONS ANSWERED

*The layman's perspective on technical theory and practical applications of mapping and GIS*

BY Cassim A. Abdullah, Ph.D., PLS, CP\*\*

### QUESTION:

**Question:** Do frame-based remote sensing systems, such as metric cameras, rely significantly on accurate inertial measurement unit (IMU) data with OPK (omega, phi, cappa) parameters for the creation of high-accuracy geospatial products? Or is position (XYZ) alone more important, as long as aerotriangulation can solve frame rotation during post-processing?

Nathan Eick, Flight Operations Manager,  
Aerial Services, Inc. (ASI)

**“Experience has shown us that while direct orientation sometimes achieves project accuracy, it fails to do so at other times.”**

**Dr. Abdullah:** My answer to your question Nathan is no, an aerial metric camera does not rely significantly on IMU orientation, as long as you are performing aerial triangulation on the imagery. For processing imagery, IMU has a significant advantage if you are using the direct orientation concept to bypass the process of aerial triangulation. However, you cannot always rely on the quality of the solution from the direct orientation for accurate mapping. Experience has shown us that while direct orientation sometimes achieves project accuracy, it fails to do so at other

**“The concept of direct orientation hurts the most when you use it to set up stereo models for compilation”**

times. The concept of direct orientation hurts the most when you use it to set up stereo models for compilation. Some of these stereo pairs come clean and are free of parallax, while many other stereo models show severe parallax that hinders the completion of the map. That then begs the question, “What if I use high-end IMU that is very accurate in determining sensor orientation angles?” High-end IMU is sometimes more accurate than aerial triangulation in determining sensor orientation. However, modern imaging

**“During aircraft takeoff and landing, not to mention soaring into the upper atmosphere, there are always mechanical and thermal stresses that cause vibration on and between these system components”**

processes rely on multiple elements, including the camera, GPS receiver, IMU, camera mount, laptop, etc. The presence of parallax in some stereo pairs could be caused by a source other than IMU. When we put IMU on the digital camera, we are bolting the IMU hardware, i.e. box, to the camera body or the assembly that carries the lens. During aircraft takeoff and landing, not to mention soaring into the upper atmosphere, there are always mechanical and thermal stresses that cause vibration on and between these system components. While a good IMU measures accurate orientation angles of its body frame, these mechanical stresses may cause disruption in accurately transforming the orientation of the camera body and vice versa. Having accurate, IMU-derived orientation angles is always beneficial to the

**“While a good IMU measures accurate orientation angles of its body frame, these mechanical stresses may cause disruption in accurately transforming the orientation of the camera body and vice versa”**

aerial triangulation solution as it can reduce the number of ground control points and it may accelerate the image matching process. However, the presence of IMU in aerial triangulation is not as important as utilizing accurate GPS positions. Having an accurate GPS position for each frame

Photogrammetric Engineering & Remote Sensing  
Vol. 87, No. 4, April 2021, pp. 227–228.  
0099-1112/21/227–228

© 2021 American Society for Photogrammetry  
and Remote Sensing

doi: 10.14358/PERS.87.4.227

**“Utilizing accurate GPS positions and a few ground control points are all we need to perform successful aerial triangulation”**

is crucial to processing imagery through aerial triangulation. This replaces the need to survey a tremendous amount of ground control points previously needed for the old practices of aerial triangulation prior to the invention of GPS. IMU can be handy for single flight-line corridor projects because it can reduce the amount of ground control points needed for processing the imagery. However, using high-end IMU for metric, large-format cameras can cost hundreds of thousands of dollars. This is why many of us in the industry stopped adding IMU to the camera after we experienced the problem of parallax with direct orientation. Utilizing accurate GPS positions and a few ground control points are all we need to perform successful aerial triangulation.

*\*\*Dr. Abdullah is Vice President and Chief Scientist at Woolpert, Inc. He is also adjunct professor at Penn State and the University of Maryland Baltimore County. Dr. Abdullah is ASPRS fellow and the recipient of the ASPRS Life Time Achievement Award and the Fairchild Photogrammetric Award.*

The contents of this column reflect the views of the author, who is responsible for the facts and accuracy of the data presented herein. The contents do not necessarily reflect the official views or policies of the American Society for Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing, Woolpert, Inc., NOAA Hydrographic Services Review Panel (HSRP), Penn State, and/or the University of Maryland Baltimore County.

## NEW ASPRS MEMBERS

ASPRS would like to welcome the following new members!

### At Large

Mubashir Ali  
Prawin Balasubramaniam  
Mohammadreza Bayanlou  
Pranavan Bupathy  
SP Dhanabalan  
Abhinav Galodha  
Kalingga Titon Nur Ihsan  
Yogendra Karna  
Mehdi Khoshboresh-Masouleh  
Eslam M. Muhammed  
Jokotola Toyyeбат Omidiji  
Mike Petrut  
Surachet Srinara  
Aswin Sunil  
Sagar Taneja  
Prateek Tripathi  
Ravi Verma

### Cascadia

Sara Mei Ling Goldstein  
Brooke Hunter  
Josh Wiejaczka

### Eastern Great Lakes

Casey Lawrence Blake

### Florida

Connor Bass  
Fred Hartless, GISP  
Mason Jordan Knapp  
Troy Lane Underhill

### Heartland

Katie Buchok  
Kenneth Ekpeteru  
Morgan Lu  
Douglas MacFarland  
Wenjun Yang

### Mid South

Gregory Alliger  
Jason Combs  
Sukanya Dasgupta  
Jacob Levi Gresham  
Bilal Gregory Jones  
Keiyonna LaChelle Lighten-Solomon  
Kyle Manderson, CP, CMS  
John Segars  
Xiaoqing Shen  
Megha Shrestha

Kama Svoboda  
Esra Tekdal Tekdal Yilmaz, PhD  
Jonathan Tidwell  
Samuel Wyatt  
Kristin Youngquist

### North Atlantic

MD Amit Hasan  
Michael S. Loose, CP  
Joshua Persson, CP

### Northeastern

William M. Stiteler, CMS, PhD  
Cora Van Hazinga

### Pacific Southwest

Steve Hannig  
Kara Lee  
Alejandro Vasquez  
Matthew Winter

### Potomac

Gabriel Antonio Abreu-Vigil  
Arif Albayrak  
Steven R. Douglas  
Christopher D. Gard  
Yvonne Harding  
Ella Kasten  
Laura Mills  
Hope S. Morgan, PLS  
Casey Thomas O'Heran  
Carl David Stearns  
Brian Sweeney  
Neri G. Terry, Jr.  
Marlin R. Zook, CP

### Rocky Mountain

Abigail Gettinger  
James Haynes  
Christopher M. McGinty  
Brooks W. Mitchell  
Christopher Payne

### Western Great Lakes

Suzanne Liebergen  
Stephen J. Martinez, CP  
Kyle Mullen  
Bryan Tolcser  
Gabriel David Wier  
Cyril Oluyomi Norwood Wilson, PhD  
Luke Zaruba

FOR MORE INFORMATION ON ASPRS MEMBERSHIP,  
VISIT [HTTP://WWW.ASPRS.ORG/JOIN-NOW](http://www.asprs.org/join-now)

**Your Path To Success In The Geospatial Community**