Evaluation of SPOT Imagery on Analytical Photogrammetric Instruments

G. Konecny, P. Lohmann, H. Engel and *E. Kruck*
Institute for Photogrammetry and Engineering Surveys, University of Hannover, Nienburgerstrasse 1, D-3000 Hannover 1, F.R. Germany

ABSTRACT: A rigorous method for the geometric processing of SPOT images has been developed and implemented on analytical photogrammetric instruments. Level 1A film products, as delivered by SPOT IMAGE or other SPOT image distributors, may be used on these instruments to produce digital elevation models, orthophotos, or line maps. The method has been implemented and tested on the Zeiss Planicomp and Orthocomp hardware. A bundle adjustment program BINGO has been modified to handle CCD line scanner geometry for the restitution of the images. Results using a panchromatic SPOT stereo pair over the area of Marseille in the south of France are presented and discussed.

INTRODUCTION

SPOT WAS SUCCESSFULLY LAUNCHED in February 1986, and since then stereo pairs have been available on request. Because of the digital nature of the data, digital image processing techniques have been adequate for most users. However, most digital image processing systems do not provide a capability for three-dimensional processing and displaying of the data in terms of soft- and hardware and for complicated processes such as automatic image correlation. For photogrammetric evaluation, three-dimensional processing is a prerequisite in order to produce precise maps or data sets.

Analytical instruments have been available for nearly 20 years and in principle are capable of handling imagery with any geometry. Therefore, the processing of SPOT images with CCD line geometry consists only in the modification of existing software on these analytical instruments. Furthermore, photographic copies of SPOT images are less expensive than images on CCT.

Several attempts have been made in the past to formulate the geometrical model of CCD line scanner imagery (Derenyi and Konecny, 1966; Bähr, 1976; Egels, 1983; Toutin, 1985; Wu, 1986; Kruck and Lohmann, 1986). This paper introduces a new possibility, which avoids high correlations between the unknowns and is based on the use of photo coordinates. The unknowns of the orientations are approximated by the use of orbit data and, in the course of adjustment, are partly formulated as additional parameters.

MATHEMATICAL MODEL

An aerial photograph is a central perspective image of part of the Earth surface. Within one strip a number of photos are taken at regular intervals. These overlap to a high degree. The exterior orientation of one photograph is given by the coordinates X_o, Y_o, Z_o and the angles ϕ, ω, κ.

A CCD-line scanner commonly has one or more CCD-line Sensors mounted in the focal plane of the optics. While the platform moves, the terrain is continuously projected onto the sensor. Within fixed time intervals (cycles) the measured intensities are read out of the single sensor elements of each line. In the case of a simultaneous read-out of all elements during each cycle, the image of each line can be regarded as a central perspective.

The exterior orientation of each single line is given by six parameters, as in the case of aerial photography, but the parameters of neighboring lines are highly correlated. This is especially true if the scanner is mounted on a platform of a high altitude satellite with a geocentric orbit.

The sensor is moving uniformly without acceleration during the period of acquisition from A to E (see Figure 1).

If the computation of the orientation parameters is performed in a coordinate system such as Gauss-Krueger or UTM, the flight path from A to E may be considered as straight. The center of projection moves linearly from A to E, which means



FIG. 1. CCD line scanner imaging with non-accelerated uniform movement.

$$\mathbf{X}_{o,i} = \mathbf{X}_{o,A} + S_i/S_{AE} \cdot (\mathbf{X}_{o,E} - \mathbf{X}_{o,A}) \quad (1)$$

where

- $\mathbf{X}_{o,i}$ = positional vector of the center of projection at time i;
- $\mathbf{X}_{o,A}$ = as above, but for the first line;
- $\mathbf{X}_{o,E}$ = as above, but for the last line;
- S_i = distance from $\mathbf{X}_{o,A}$ to $\mathbf{X}_{o,i}$; and
- S_{AE} = distance from $\mathbf{X}_{o,A}$ to $\mathbf{X}_{o,E}$.

The ratio of the distance in Equation 1 may be replaced by

$$i/n = S_i/S_{AE} \quad (2)$$

where

- i = number of actual line (time of exposure) and
- n = total number of lines.

PHOTOGRAMMETRIC ENGINEERING AND REMOTE SENSING,
Vol. 53, No. 9, September 1987, pp. 1223–1230

0099-1112/87/5309–1223$02.25/0
©1987 American Society for Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing



FIG. 2. Additional parameters to account for the non-uniform movement and Earth rotation (1 and 2, periodic change of ϕ; 3 and 4, periodic change of ω; 5, periodic change of κ; 6, correction of Earth curvature for $\phi = 0$; 7, affinity; 8, Earth rotation).

As a first approximation, the orientation angles ϕ, ω, and κ are regarded as constant. The computation is performed using a tangential cartesian coordinate system located near the area of interest. For a discrete point of one line in the image, its collinearity equation may be formulated according to

$$\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}_o = \lambda \cdot \mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{x}' \tag{3}$$

where

$$\mathbf{x} = (0, y', -c) \tag{4}$$

Y' corresponds to the pixel number j within that particular line and is related to the center of the line, while c is the focal length of the instrument.

The approximation used assumes linear non-accelerated movement. In reality, the elliptical form of the orbit and accelerations caused by maneuvering actions together with movements due to the nonuniform gravity field of the Earth cause the platform not to move in a defined orbit, and the angles ϕ, ω, and κ do not remain constant. All six parameters of orientation are a function of time. Unfortunately, the function itself is not known *a priori* and must be approximated by polynomials or time series (Wu, 1986). It becomes clear that the equations developed so far do not fit reality, but in the case of a satellite based CCD-sensor a very simple solution for the refinement of the approach may be given. Compared to an aircraft, a satellite moves very gently in its orbit. In addition, terrain heights are small compared with the flight altitude. Because of this the six orientation parameters of a perspective projection are highly correlated with each other, i.e., ϕ with X_o and ω with Y_o, assuming flight in the X-direction. In terms of ground coordinates, it is therefore unimportant if ϕ or X_o is changed. Thus, orbit refinements may exclude nonlinear changes of the orbital path if changes in ϕ, ω, and κ are allowed.

These angular changes are a function of time i. In terms of mathematics, they may be expressed as additional parameters which change the image geometry. The question, which distortions are most likely, leads to set of eight parameters, which are shown in Figure 2. This set of parameters may be expanded if desired. Therefore, the orientation angles are treated as time invariant.

Within the presented approach for each image the coordinates of the center point M (Figure 3) and the orientation angles ϕ, ω, and κ as well as the additional parameters are considered



FIG. 3. Definition of heading h, real track heading t, and Earth rotation r.



FIG. 4. Flow chart of the restitution process.

as unknowns.

By using satellite orbital data (ephemeris), approximations of the unknowns can be computed. Because of the rotation of the Earth, the direction of flight h (heading) is not identical with the direction of the flight track t_{AE} on ground (Real TRACK HEADING).

The angle of difference r (rotation) is a function of the angular speed of the Earth, the geographic latitude, the inclination, and the time for one orbit period of the satellite: i.e.,

$$h + r = t_{AE} \quad (7)$$

$$\text{and } \kappa \approx h. \quad (8)$$

In a similar manner the direction of the flight track t, the distance S_{AE}, and the height difference between A and E may be derived from orbital data. In case of missing orbital information, these values may be obtained from existing maps or from measurements on the ground.

Based on the empirical *a priori* standard deviation, a weight is assigned to the unknowns ϕ, ω, κ in order to stabilize the block. The parameters t_{AE}, S_{AE}, and H_{AE} are used to interpolate the centers of projection between A and E. In addition, control points and tie points are included in the adjustment.

This approach is similar to standard bundle adjustments with central perspective projections and, hence, easy to implement in existing bundle adjustment programs. In the same way, it becomes possible to adjust photographs with central perspective and CCD-images which are taken simultaneously. The utilization of all the other options of existing software is part of this approach. The algorithm presented has been integrated as an option to the Hannover bundleblock adjustment program BINGO (Konecny *et al.*, 1986; Kruck, 1983).

The above mentioned eight additional parameters are a subset of 32 parameters, which may be independently selected for each image.

IMPLEMENTATION

The total restitution process is shown in the flow chart of Figure 4. The image coordinates for all points as well as the ground control point coordinates serve as input to the bundle adjustment program BINGO. The header file data of SPOT image tapes are used by CSPOT to compute approximate orientations and sensor specific parameters.

The adjustment with BINGO will result in the following:

- six parameters of exterior orientation X_M, ϕ, ω, and κ;
- the values of the additional parameters representing the difference between the approximated uniform movement and reality; redundant parameters are automatically removed;
- the correlation of the additional parameters;
- three-dimensional coordinates of object points;
- variances and covariances of the unknowns; and
- the variance components of the observations to check the stochastic model.

These results are used by several programs to allow the production of orthophotos or other photogrammetric evaluations on analytical plotters.

The use of standard application software on analytical plotters requires central perspective images. In order to use SPOT data, the differences between central perspective and SPOT geometry have to be considered. The necessary corrections depend on the terrain heights, which at this stage of processing are not available. Therefore B105 computes two correction grids with fixed terrain evaluations for each image. The real time program OLLI computes the actual stage corrections by bilinear



FIG. 5. Determination of stage corrections (Left: Bilinear interpolation using two elevation levels; Right: Computed correction vectors at one elevation level).



FIG. 6. (Left) SPOT level 1a panchromatic Image No. ST00 6038 purchased from Spot Image. (Right) Land control/check point distribution.

TABLE 1. ACQUISITION DATA

	CCT ST006038	CCT ST005353
Instrument	HRV1	HRV1
Mean orbit altitude	830 km	830 km
Focal length	2087.4 mm	2087.4 mm
Photo scale	1:400 000	1:400 000
Format	15 × 15 cm	15 × 15 cm
Area	~60 × 60 km	~60 × 60 km
View angle	25°02′ left	26°11′ right
b/h	1:1.05	
Date	11 May 86	18 May 86

interpolation as a function of the image coordinates and the actual point elevation. This is demonstrated in Figure 5.

The image coordinates of a point P_k having an elevation Z_k are corrected by dx'_k, dy'_k according to

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta x'_k &= (x'_k - x'_{(i,j)})/g \\ \Delta y'_k &= (y'_k - y'_{(i,j)})/g \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

$$\begin{aligned} dx_I = {} & dx'_{(i,j)} + \Delta x'_k(dx'_{(i+1,j)} - dx'_{(i,j)}) + \Delta y'_k(dx'_{(i,j+1)} - dx'_{(i,j)}) \\ & + \Delta x'_k \Delta y'_k(dx'_{(i,j)} - dx'_{(i+1,j)} - dx'_{(i,j+1)} + dx'_{(i+1,j+1)}) \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

$$\begin{aligned} dx'_k &= (dx'_{II} - dx'_I) \cdot (Z_k/(Z_2 - Z_1)) + dx'_I \\ dy'_k &= (dy'_{II} - dy'_I) \cdot (Z_k/(Z_2 - Z_1)) + dy'_I \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

I represents Z-level 1 for each image
II represents Z-level 2 for each image.

In the case of the generation of orthophotos, the output of BINGO and an existing DTM are used by the program SIREC to generate a file of anchor points containing profiles in the ground and the image coordinate system. This file may be used by the software of orthophoto printers or digital image processing systems to generate the desired output.

PRACTICAL RESULTS

The approach discussed was tested using a SPOT panchromatic stereo pair taken over the south of France. Figure 6 and Table 1 show one image with control and describe the acquisition data. This stereo pair was the only one available at the time of evaluation.

The results of the bundle adjustment with BINGO are shown in Table 2. As mentioned earlier, the program automatically selects the additional parameters out of a set of 32 and removes redundant parameters. In any case, the parameters 8 and 7 (see Figure 2) have been selected and are of major importance.

The gain in accuracy by increasing the number of control points is not proportional to the effort required for the determination of these control points. However, 18 control points were necessary to stabilize the geometry in this case, considering that over 50 percent of the model is covered by water, and the ground control has been taken from existing 1:25,000-scale maps.

The results prove that SPOT stereoscopic data may be used for the purpose of topographic mapping up to a scale of 1:25,000.

Using the data obtained by aerial triangulation, a DTM was generated on the Zeiss Planicomp C100 for part of the image. It is shown in Figure 7.

The DTM was sampled with a spacing of 500 m and an RMS accuracy of 7.5 m. This DTM could then be used to print an orthophoto as shown in Figure 8. Figure 9 shows as an example a line map obtained by digital plotting on the analytical plotter which, in combination with the orthophoto and a thematic overlay produced by digital image processing, completes the needed information for topographic mapping.

SUMMARY

The presented approach for evaluating SPOT images on analytical instruments allows the use of existing hardware and software and promises good results for topographic mapping up to a scale of 1:25,000. The modification of the existing software is easy to implement and is hardware independent. However, because of the digital nature of the data, pure digital processing is desirable. Presently, the digital image processing system GOP 300 from CONTEXT VISION at the University of Hannover is being reprogrammed and redesigned to combine the advantages of digital image processing techniques and photogrammetry.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The practical implementation of this method consisted of a joint effort of the authors and their colleagues, G. Picht, R. Priebbenow, and M. Michaelis.



FIG. 7. Digital terrain model derived from SPOT stereoscopic data using an analytical plotter.

TABLE 2. RESULTS OF BUNDLE ADJUSTMENT

Number of adjusted points	Number of control points	Add. Par. left/right	Internal accuracy θ_o	$\theta_{xy\ max}$	$\theta_{xy\ mean}$	$\theta_{z\ max}$	$\theta_{z\ mean}$
86	18	4/3	8.4	8.7	5.2	10.9	8.5
86	34	3/3	7.9	6.1	4.5	8.8	7.1
89	83	4/5	6.1	4.5	3.0	5.6	5.0

Number of independ. check points	Number of control points	Mean Differences x	y	z	Mean Square Differences x	y	z
68	18	7.9	10.4	4.8	10.9	13.7	6.5
52	34	8.3	10.5	4.5	11.3	13.8	6.2

FIG. 8. Orthophoto print (original scale 1:100 000).



FIG. 9. Example of line map covering the same area as the orthophoto as obtained by digital plotting on the analytical plotter (original scale 1:100 000).

REFERENCES

Bähr, H. P., 1976. Analyse der Geometrie auf Photodetektoren abgetasteter Aufnahmen von Erderkundungssatelliten. *Wissenschaftliche Arbeiten der Fachrichtung Vermessungswesen der Universität Hannover.*

Derenyi, E., and G. Konecny, 1966. Infrared Scan Geometry. *Photogrammetric Engineering* 32, pp. 773–778.

Egels, Y., 1983. Amelioration des logiciels TRASTER: restitution d'images a géométrie non conique. *Bull. Inf. IGN* 1983/2, pp. 19–22.

Konecny, G., E. Kruck, and P. Lohmann, 1986. Ein universeller Ansatz für die geometrische Auswertung von CCD-Zeilenabtasteraufnahmen. 54, Vol. 4, pp. 139–146.

Kruck, E., *Bildmessung und Luftbildwesen*, 1983. Lösung großer Gleichungssysteme für Photogrammetrische Blockausgleichung mit erweitertem funktionalen Modell. *Wissenschaftliche Arbeiten der Fachrichtung Vermessungswesen der Universität Hannover.*

Toutin, Th., 1985. *Analyse mathématique des possibilités cartographiques du système SPOT.* Doctoral Thesis, Ecole Nationale des Sciences Géographiques, Paris.

Wu, J., 1986. Geometrische Analyse für Bilddaten Stereoskopischer Dreifach-LinearZeilenabtaster. *Wissenschaftliche Arbeiten der Fachrichtung Vermessungswesen der Universität Hannover.*

(Received and accepted 28 May 1987)

©1986 copyright CNES Spot Imagery

International Symposium
Photogrammetry and the Representation of Architecture

Granada, Spain
3–5 November 1987

Photogrammetric techniques applied to architectural surveys lead in most cases to a representation of the architectural work surveyed. Those techniques can lose much of their value and advantages if the resulting representation is not satisfactory and does not precisely meet users' requirements. In addition, photogrammetric procedures themselves can influence the conception of the architectural representation and analysis. Therefore, the theme of this Symposium — sponsored by the Comité International de Photogrammétrie Architecturale — is to address solutions for the problems concerning the representation of architecture resulting from a photogrammetric survey, either directly, or obtained by processing of the primary survey.

For further information please contact

Arquitecto Antonio Almagro Gorbea
Escuela de Estudios Arabes
Casa del Chapiz
Cuesta del Chapiz
E 18010 Granada, Spain

First Map Outline User's Group Conference

Radisson Inn, Atlanta, Georgia
25–27 October 1987

The theme of this Conference — which immediately proceeds the NACIS (North American Cartographic Information Society) conference — is "Maps Online in Libraries: The State of the Art." Sessions include advanced map cataloging workshops, such as maps on microform, map series, and rare maps; demonstrations of local online catalogs; presentations by commercial vendors of online databases and CD-ROM products; micro applications in map libraries; projects underway at the bibliographic utilities; and other innovative online map library developments.

For further information lease contact

Arlyn Sherwood
Illinois State Library
350 Centennial Bldg.
Springfield, IL 62756
Tele. (217) 782-5823