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Abstract

Recent and historical satellite remote sensor data were used to inventory aquatic macrophyte (especially cattail and sawgrass) changes within the Florida Everglades Water Conservation Area 2A using Landsat Multispectral Scanner (MSS) data (1973, 1976, and 1982) and SPOT High Resolution Visible (HRV) multispectral data (1987 and 1991). The method required a single base year of remotely sensed data with adequate ground reference information (1991). Historical remotely sensed data were "normalized" to the base year's radiometric characteristics. Statistical clusters extracted from each date of imagery were found in relatively consistent regions of multispectral feature space (using red and near-infrared bands) and labeled using a "core cluster" approach. Wetland classification maps of each year were analyzed using "post-classification comparison" change detection techniques to produce maps of (1) cattail change and (2) change in the "sawgrass/cattail mixture" class. The amount of hectares in each wetland class was tabulated by year. The spatial distribution of the wetland was then overlaid onto a soil porewater phosphorus statistical surface obtained through in situ investigation. The cattail and cattail/sawgrass mixture classes appear to be spatially associated with the distribution of relatively high concentrations of porewater phosphorus in Water Conservation Area 2A.

Introduction

In the mid-1970s, there were an estimated 105.9 million acres of wetlands in the conterminous United States. In the mid-1980s, an estimated 103.3 million acres of wetlands remained. An estimated 1.1 percent of estuarine wetlands and 2.5 percent of inland wetlands were lost from the lower 48 states during this period. Of the remaining wetlands acreage in the conterminous United States, 97.8 million acres (95 percent) were freshwater (inland) wetlands and 5.5 million acres (5.0 percent) were estuarine (coastal) wetlands (Dahl and Johnson, 1991). Thus, inland wetlands make up the vast majority of the precious wetland resources in the conterminous United States. They improve water quality, provide flood control, assist groundwater recharge, and provide habitat for fish and wildlife (Koeln, 1992). They represent a significant natural resource which must be preserved.

The Everglades represent the largest freshwater system in Florida (SFWMD, 1992). These wetlands are influenced by an extensive system of levees and canals, which have significantly altered the hydroperiod (duration and depth of surface water that covers an area), flow of water, and water quality (Worth, 1988; Davis and Ogden, 1993). Much of the area has been impounded into Water Conservation Areas, as shown in Figure 1. This study focused on the inland wetland conditions found within Water Conservation Area 2A. Sawgrass (a perennial sedge and not actually a grass as the common name implies) is one of the dominant vegetation community types found throughout the freshwater (palustrine) Everglades ecosystem. The loss of sawgrass (Cladium jamaicense) and the apparent replacement by cattail (Typha domingensis) appears to be taking place in Water Conservation Area 2A (WCA-2A).

This study focused on the analytical inventory and mapping of the aquatic macrophyte communities in WCA-2A (especially cattail and sawgrass). The goal was to inventory the cattail distribution using historical remotely sensed data to document whether the cattail distribution was decreasing, stable, or increasing. Several recent studies document how to identify changes in inland wetland using satellite remote sensor data (Jensen et al., 1991; 1992; 1993b; Rutchey and Vilchek, 1994). The methods usually involve (Jensen, 1986; Jensen et al., 1987)

- the selection of a minimum of two dates of remotely sensed data;
- holding sensor system variables as constant as possible (e.g., spatial, spectral, temporal, and radiometric resolutions, look angle);
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Figure 1. A location map of Water Conservation Area 2A located in south Florida and managed by the South Florida Water Management District.

The Remotely Sensed Data

It is often difficult to acquire cloud-free, remotely sensed data in subtropical south Florida. Nevertheless, six predominantly cloud-free dates of satellite remote sensor data were collected by two sensor systems for Water Conservation Area 2A from 1973 to 1991. Landsat Multispectral Scanner (MSS) data were obtained in 1973, 1976, and 1982, and SPOT High Resolution Visible (HRV) multispectral (XS) data were collected in 1987 and 1991. The specific date, type of imagery, bands used in the analysis, and nominal spatial resolution of the various sensor systems are summarized in Table 1. Color-infrared color composite images of the individual dates are shown in Plate 1.

Collection of 1991 In Situ Reference Data

Rutchev and Vilchek (1994) inspected 129 ground plots during 1991 using a helicopter and the Global Positioning System (GPS). The GPS data were "differentially corrected" to a planimetric accuracy of ± 3 to 7 m which was compatible with the spatial resolution of the SPOT 20- by 20-m multisy.
pectral data and superior to the 79- by 79-m Landsat MSS data. Field verification was accomplished by navigating to a point using the GPS and having a single observer estimate the percent cover of each of the plant species located within an estimated 20- by 20-m grid square. The same observer was used throughout the study to maintain consistency and uniformity. Every attempt was made to evaluate percent coverage of vegetation based on a vertical view of the grid cell (i.e., understory species were not taken into account if they were not visible from a vertical perspective). All data were reduced and placed in digital format for statistical analysis.

**Image Preprocessing**

*Inland wetland change detection* using multispectral remote sensor data involves preprocessing, classification, and change detection procedures. The following sections document the preprocessing required to perform the multiple date change detection using satellite remotely sensed data. The most

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Type of Imagery</th>
<th>Bands Used</th>
<th>Nominal Field-of-view</th>
<th>Rectification RMSE¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22 Mar 73</td>
<td>Landsat MSS</td>
<td>1,2,4</td>
<td>79 × 79 m</td>
<td>± 0.377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02 Apr 76</td>
<td>Landsat MSS</td>
<td>1,2,4</td>
<td>79 × 79 m</td>
<td>± 0.275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 Oct 82</td>
<td>Landsat MSS</td>
<td>1,2,4</td>
<td>79 × 79 m</td>
<td>± 0.807</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 Apr 87</td>
<td>SPOT HRV</td>
<td>1,2,3</td>
<td>20 × 20 m</td>
<td>± 0.675</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Aug 91</td>
<td>SPOT HRV</td>
<td>1,2,3</td>
<td>20 × 20 m</td>
<td>± 0.400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ x,y coordinate root-mean-square error.

The southern portion of the 1987 and 1991 SPOT scenes did not encompass all of Water Conservation Area 2A (Plate 1). Also, a small amount of cloud cover was present in the 1987 SPOT data. These conditions are accounted for in the statistical analysis (Table 4).

Plate 1. Color-infrared color composites of Landsat MSS and SPOT HRV XS data obtained on 22 March 1973, 2 April 1976, 17 October 1982, 4 April 1987, and 10 August 1991 of Water Conservation Area 2A. The data were rectified, normalized, and masked. Specific bands used to create the color composites are summarized in Table 1.
Image Rectification

Twenty ground control points (GPS) were obtained in map (metres northing and easting) and image space (row and column) coordinates and used to rectify the 10 August 1991 remote sensor data to a Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) map projection having 20- by 20-m pixels using a nearest-neighbor resampling algorithm and a root-mean-square error (RMSE) of ± 0.4 pixel (± 8 m) (Rutchev and Vilchek, 1994). All other images were resampled to 20- by 20-m pixels using nearest-neighbor resampling and registered to the 1991 SPOT data for change detection purposes (Plate 1). The RMSE statistic for each image is summarized in Table 1.

The boundary of Water Conservation Area 2A was digitized from U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-minute, 1:24,000-scale topographic maps. The polygon boundary file was “rasterized” to a UTM map projection resampled to 20- by 20-m pixels, producing a binary “mask” of the WCA-2A. The mask was applied to each of the rectified images. Thus, only land within Water Conservation Area 2A was allowed to contribute to the cluster development in the classification phase of the project. The mask also maintained a constant amount of hectares in each image, which was important for computing the change in wetland between dates.

Image Normalization

A problem associated with using historical remotely sensed data for change detection is that the data are usually “non-anniversary” dates with varying sun angle, atmospheric, and soil moisture conditions. Ideally, the multiple dates of remotely sensed data should be “normalized” so that these effects can be minimized or eliminated (Eckhardt et al., 1990; Hall et al., 1991).

The ability to use remotely sensed data to classify wetland is contingent upon there being a relationship between remotely sensing brightness value (BV) and actual surface conditions. However, factors such as sun angle, Earth/sun distance, detector calibration differences between the various sensor systems, atmospheric condition, and sun/target/sensor (phase angle) geometry will also affect pixel brightness value (Eckhardt et al., 1990). Image normalization was performed to reduce pixel BV variation caused by non-surface factors, so that variations in pixel brightness value between dates could be related to actual changes in surface conditions.

Differences in direct beam solar radiation due to variation in sun angle and Earth/sun distance can be calculated accurately, as can variation in pixel BVs due to detector calibration differences between sensor systems. However, removal of atmospheric and phase angle effects require information about the gaseous and aerosol composition of the atmosphere and the bi-directional reflectance characteristics of elements within the scene (Eckhardt et al., 1990). Because atmospheric and bi-directional reflectance information were not available for any of the five scenes, an “empirical scene normalization” approach was used to match the detector calibration, astronomical, atmospheric, and phase angle conditions present in a reference scene. The 10 August 1991 SPOT HRV scene was selected as the reference scene to which the 1973, 1976, 1982, and 1987 scenes were normalized. The 1991 SPOT image was selected because it was the only year for which quality in situ ground reference data were available.

Image normalization was achieved by applying regression equations to the 1973, 1976, 1982, and 1987 imagery which predict what a given BV would be if it had been acquired under the same conditions as the 1991 reference scene. These regression equations were developed by correlating the brightness of “normalization targets” present in both the scene being normalized and the reference (1991) scene. Normalization targets were assumed to be constant reflectors, so any changes in their brightness values were attributed to detector calibration, astronomical, atmospheric, and phase angle differences. Once these variations were removed, changes in BV could be related to changes in surface conditions.

The acceptance criteria for radiometric “normalization targets” were (Eckhardt et al., 1990):

- The target should be at approximately the same elevation as the other land within the scene. Selecting a mountain top normalization target would be of little use in estimating atmospheric conditions near sea level because most aerosols in the atmosphere occur within the lowest 1000 m.
- The target should contain only minimal amounts of vegetation. Vegetation spectral reflectance can change over time due to environmental stresses and plant phenology.
- The target must be in a relatively flat area so that incremental changes in sun angle from date to date will have the same proportional increase or decrease in direct beam sunlight for all normalization targets.
- When viewed on the image display screen, the patterns seen on the normalization targets should not change over time. Changing patterns indicate variability within the target which could mean that the reflectance of the target as a whole may not be constant over time. For example, a motled pattern on what had previously been a continuous tone dry lake bed may indicate changing surface moisture conditions, which might eliminate the dry lake bed from consideration as a normalization target.

Multiple wet (water) and dry (e.g., unvegetated bare soil) targets were found in the base year image (1991) and in each of the other dates of imagery (e.g., 1987 SPOT data). A total of 21 radiometric control points were used to normalize the 1973, 1976, 1982, and 1987 data to the 1991 SPOT data. It is useful to summarize the nature of the normalization targets used and identify adjustments which had to be made when trying to identify dry soil targets in a humid-subtropical environment.

Radiometric normalization targets found within the 1987 and 1991 SPOT data consisted of three wet points obtained just to the north of WCA-2A within WCA-1 and three dry points extracted from an excavated area, a dry lake area, and a limestone road area. The brightness values of the early image targets (e.g., 1987) were regressed against the brightness values of the base image targets (e.g., 1991) for each band (Figure 2). The coefficients and intercept of the equation were used to compute a normalized 1987 SPOT dataset which had approximately the same spectral characteristics as the 1991 SPOT data. Each regression model contained an additive component that corrected for the difference in atmospheric path radiance between dates, and a multiplicative term that corrected for the difference in detector calibration, sun angle, Earth/sun distance, atmospheric attenuation, and phase angle between dates.

The 1982 MSS data were normalized to the 1991 data using (1) three “common” wet targets found within WCA-1 and (2) two dry points extracted from a bare soil excavation area in 1982 which progressed northward about 300 m (15 pixels) in the y dimension by 1991 (i.e., the x dimension was held constant). Thus, two “non-common” dry radiometric control points were extracted for this date. Hall et al. (1991) suggest that the members of the radiometric control sets may not be the same pixels from image to image in contrast to geometric
control points for spatial image rectification, which are composed of identical elements in each scene. Furthermore, they suggest that "using fixed elements inevitably requires manual selection of sufficient numbers of image-to-image pairs of suitable pixels, which can be prohibitively labor intensive, particularly when several images from a number of years are being considered." Such conditions were definitely a factor in this study.

The 1976 MSS data were normalized to the 1991 data using three wet targets located in WCA-1 and two dry points extracted along a bare soil road and a limestone bare soil area. The 1973 MSS data were normalized to the 1991 data using two wet and three dry targets. The greater the time period between the base image (e.g., 1991) and the earlier year image (e.g., 1973), the more difficult it is to locate unvegetated, dry normalization targets. For this reason, analysts sometimes use man-made, "pseudo-invariant" features such as concrete, asphalt, rooftops, parking lots, and roads when normalizing historical remotely sensed data (Schott et al., 1988; Caselles and Garcia, 1989; Hall et al., 1991).

The normalization equations for each of the individual dates are summarized in Table 2. The gain (slope) associated with the SPOT data were minimal while the historical MSS data required significant gain and bias adjustments (because some MSS data were not originally acquired as 8-bit data). The methodology, applied to all images, minimized the differences in sun angle, atmospheric effects, and soil moisture conditions between the dates. Rectified, standardized, and masked remote sensor data are shown in Plate 1.

Image Classification
Rutchev and Vilchek (1994) classified the 10 August 1991 SPOT data into 20 classes. These data were "recoded" into the more general classes summarized in Table 3 and shown in Plate 2. This section reviews the methodology used to classify the 1991 base image and documents the techniques used to classify the historical remotely sensed data.

Classification of the 1991 SPOT Base Image
First, a standard statistical unsupervised classification of the study area was performed, yielding 30 clusters. One hundred twenty-nine ground reference locations within the initial classification were then visited in the field (in situ) using Global Positioning System (GPS) technology. The planimetric locations of the field sites were located in the imagery, and the mean and variance-covariance matrices of these data

Table 2. Equations Used to Normalize the Radiometric Characteristics of the Historical Remote Sensor Data with the 10 August 1991 SPOT XS Data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Band</th>
<th>slope</th>
<th>y-intercept</th>
<th>r²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22 Mar 73</td>
<td>MSS 1</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>31.19</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>23.49</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>23.48</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02 Apr 76</td>
<td>MSS 1</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>31.69</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>21.91</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>26.32</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 Oct 82</td>
<td>MSS 1</td>
<td>2.142</td>
<td>8.488</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.779</td>
<td>17.936</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.025</td>
<td>21.152</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 Apr 87</td>
<td>SPOT 1</td>
<td>0.987</td>
<td>9.448</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.045</td>
<td>13.263</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All regression equations were significant at the 0.001 level.*
were used to "seed" a supervised maximum-likelihood classification. Rutchey and Vilchek (1994) documented the thematic accuracy of the 1991 SPOT wetland classification map by analyzing an additional 241 stratified random ground reference locations surveyed using GPS instruments. The overall map accuracy was 81 percent with a Kappa coefficient of agreement of 73.5.

Unfortunately, such accurate ground reference information was not available for the historical 1973 to 1987 classifications; therefore, no error assessment was made for these dates. Nevertheless, the valuable 1991 data were used to understand where the important wetland classes were located in multispectral feature space.

Measurement vectors were extracted from the 1991 SPOT data at the GPS locations and plotted in red (band 2) and near-infrared (band 3) feature space. Thirteen of the GPS verified 1991 measurement vectors for sawgrass, cattail, and brush are shown in Figure 3 along with slough/water and bare soil measurement vectors. Slough/water absorbed most of the red and near-infrared incident radiant flux, causing these vectors to be located near the origin at approximately 30,30 (in red, near-infrared feature space). Sawgrass was found above water in feature space, up and to the left of the water-dry soil line. Cattail absorbed about the same amount of red radiant flux but reflected more near-infrared radiant flux. This caused the cattail measurement vectors to be located above the sawgrass vectors away from the water-dry soil line, as expected. Mixtures of cattail and sawgrass were found situated between the cattail and sawgrass classes. Dense brush absorbed even more red radiant flux and reflected even more near-infrared radiant flux, moving the measurement vectors further from the soil line up and to the left in a unique portion of the feature space. Dry soil reflected much of the incident red and near-infrared radiant
Plate 3. (a) A change detection map showing the change in cattail distribution in Water Conservation Area 2A from 1973 to 1991 based on the analysis of Landsat MSS and SPOT HRV data. (b) A change detection map showing the change in sawgrass/cattail mix distribution in Water Conservation Area 2A from 1973 to 1991.

flux and was found in the top right quadrant of the feature space. The dry soil vectors exhibited the greatest variance of all classes.

Labeling Clusters Extracted from Historical Remote Sensor Data
With the historical remotely sensed data (1973, 1976, 1982, and 1987) normalized to the 1991 image data, it was hypothesized that the feature space relationships for the major wetland classes would be relatively constant between the various dates of imagery. Therefore, each historical remote sensing dataset was analyzed using statistical unsupervised pattern recognition techniques to yield 75 clusters (Jensen, 1986). In order to label the 75 clusters on each date of imagery into information classes as accurately as possible, four types of information were used: (a) an individual plot of the cluster mean vectors in red versus near-infrared feature space for each date of imagery; (b) a 24-bit color infrared color composite display (RGB = near-infrared, red, and green bands) of the study area for visual analysis of the true color characteristics of the scene; (c) a display "highlighting" the individual cluster under investigation in the green image plane of a 24-bit color infrared color composite (a typical "class overlay" operation); and (d) a map showing the geographic distribution of an individual cluster under investigation (e.g., highlighted in red) in relationship to other clusters already evaluated. This was accomplished using two 24-bit color displays at one time with stable items 'a' and 'b' on one display and dynamic items 'c' and 'd' on the second display.

Identifying Sawgrass "Core" Clusters
Those clusters in each date having >25,000 pixels (1000 ha) were identified because it was believed that these could possibly be sawgrass clusters based on the still large total number of sawgrass pixels reported in the 1991 SPOT classification map. Three representative clusters meeting this criteria were selected for each date. For example, in the 1973 MSS dataset clusters #22, #18, and #33 were selected and contained approximately 145K, 105K, and 90K pixels, respectively. These 1973 clusters were found to be located in approximately the same red versus near-infrared feature space location [i.e., cluster #22 43.95, 68.78; cluster #18 45.01, 64.73; and cluster #33 42.69, 64.26; respectively] as the 1991 SPOT GPS sawgrass brightness values (Figure 3). These 1973 clusters were also evaluated on the CRT screen by overlaying the geographic distribution of each cluster on top of a color-infrared image of the study area (display 'c' above) and were found to occupy interior regions of the con-
of the relatively good "fit" between the 1973 "core" sawgrass clusters and the 1991 SPOT GPS sawgrass brightness values, the analyst proceeded to locate "core" cattail clusters. Cattail "core" clusters had to satisfy three criteria: (1) they had to be relatively large clusters (containing > 1000 pixels) which were located in the 1973 dataset feature space region which corresponded generally with the 1991 SPOT GPS cattail red versus near-infrared region; (2) the "core" cattail clusters should be distant in geographic space from the "core" sawgrass cluster distributions when evaluated on the color infrared display 'c' above; and (3), if present, they should normally be geographically located on the brighter magenta vegetated areas near the water control structures as was demonstrated in the field verified 10 August 1991 SPOT geographic distribution of cattail. Three cattail "core" clusters meeting these criteria were selected on each date. For the 1973 dataset, the three clusters selected were #12, #13, and #57 (containing approximately 5K, 7K, and 2K pixels, respectively) and had red versus near-infrared brightness values of 44.92, 101.27; 46.84, 96.23; and 49.44, 101.28; respect-
wetland within Water Conservation Area 2A. By 1976, there were 4.898 hectares of cat-tail in WCA-2A.

### Table 4. Hectares of Wetland Within Water Conservation Area 2A Based on Analysis of Five Dates of Satellite Remote Sensor Data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Brush</th>
<th>Brush/cattail</th>
<th>Cattail</th>
<th>Sawgrass</th>
<th>Sawgrass/cattail</th>
<th>Cloud</th>
<th>Not imaged</th>
<th>Total Hectares</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22 Mar 73</td>
<td>179.84</td>
<td>127.00</td>
<td>920.04</td>
<td>41046.84</td>
<td>1007.15</td>
<td></td>
<td>111.00</td>
<td>41967.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02 Apr 76</td>
<td>154.88</td>
<td>204.08</td>
<td>1533.28</td>
<td>39675.89</td>
<td>1712.74</td>
<td></td>
<td>111.00</td>
<td>41967.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 Oct 82</td>
<td>283.36</td>
<td>134.60</td>
<td>2381.24</td>
<td>38177.23</td>
<td>2304.44</td>
<td></td>
<td>111.00</td>
<td>41967.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 Apr 87</td>
<td>204.40</td>
<td>192.56</td>
<td>3125.24</td>
<td>32193.39</td>
<td>6108.16</td>
<td></td>
<td>111.00</td>
<td>41967.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Aug 91</td>
<td>604.48</td>
<td>1209.44</td>
<td>4888.96</td>
<td>26503.75</td>
<td>9902.32</td>
<td></td>
<td>111.00</td>
<td>41967.89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 5. Recoded Values for Cattail and Sawgrass/Cattail Classes for Each of the Classification Maps Derived from Remotely Sensed Data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recoded File &amp; Date</th>
<th>Cattail</th>
<th>Sawgrass/Cattail</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>File 1 22 Mar 73</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>File 2 02 Apr 76</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>File 3 17 Oct 82</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>File 4 04 Apr 87</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>File 5 10 Aug 91</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Identifying Mixed Clusters

Clusters which were intermediate between the major "core" sawgrass, cattail, and brush clusters were sometimes believed to contain "mixed" land cover. These were systematically evaluated using displays 'a to d' described above and where appropriate labeled as "sawgrass/cattail" and "brush/cattail." The subjective density (e.g., compact, diffuse) of a cluster pixel distribution throughout a geographic area was another important diagnostic characteristic when labeling all clusters, especially potential mixed clusters.

All burn scars, slough/water, and cloud shadow were assigned to sawgrass. Clouds were relatively easy to identify and label as they occupied distinct regions of multispectral feature space. It appears that the technique may be used to extend field verified feature space signatures (in this case, data obtained in 1991) back through time using "core" cluster logic for image classification and change detection purposes. The procedure involves both analytical and subjective methods.

Wetland classification maps of the study area for each of the dates of remote sensor data are shown in Plate 2. Statistics for each of the classification maps are summarized in Table 4. In 1973, there were relatively little cattail in Water Conservation Area 2A (920 ha), the majority adjacent to water control structures S-10A, S-10C, and S-10D. Significant growth in cattail took place by 1976 (1,533 ha) and 1982 (2,381 ha), primarily in this same region. The cattails continued to expand southward below structure S-10C as evidenced in the 1987 map (3,125 ha) with a major expansion near structure S-7. By 1991, there were 4,898 hectares of cattail in WCA-2A.

### Change Detection

It was a straightforward task to compare the individual wetland classification maps using "post-classification comparison" change detection techniques. The goal was to identify the change in the spatial distribution of the Cattail and the Sawgrass/cattail class through time from 1973 to 1991. Therefore, the spatial distribution of these two classes were extracted from each of the classification maps and recoded into a new file according to the logic shown in Table 5.

A map showing the change in Cattail distribution per year is shown in Plate 3a. This map was produced using a GIS "minimum dominate" overlay function applied to values 1 to 5 (Table 5) using all five of the recoded files. In this way, even the smallest amount of cattails present in an individual year (1973) show up in the change map. A map showing the change in the "sawgrass/cattail" mixture class from 1973 to 1991 is shown in Plate 3b. This map was produced using a GIS "minimum dominate" overlay function applied to values 6 to 10 (Table 5) using all five of the recoded files. Significant expanses of sawgrass/cattail mixture below S-10A, S-10C, and S-10D, and adjacent to structure S-7 were recorded in the 1982, 1987, and 1991 datasets. The progression of cattail growth in Water Conservation Area 2A from 1973 to 1991 in five time periods is graphed in Figure 4. Fig...
Figure 5 summarizes the growth of cattail and sawgrass/cattail mix, and the decline of sawgrass hectares from 1973 to 1991.

Discussion

What agent(s) are responsible for the expansion of the cattail and the sawgrass/cattail mixed classes in WCA-2A during this time period? Research in south Florida has suggested that the spread of cattail may be linked to increased phosphorus (P) loading or extended hydroperiod, or a combination of these factors (Toth, 1988; Davis, 1991). Only the possible impact of increased P will be briefly reviewed here. The Hillsboro and North New River canals, which drain the Everglades Agricultural Area, border WCA-2A on the northeast and southwest, respectively (Figure 1). Surface water inflow to WCA-2A occurs through the four S-10 control structures on the Hillsboro Canal and pump station S-7 on the North New River Canal. Outflow of surface water occurs through six control structures located at the south end of WCA-2A, although virtually all of the outflow is routed through the three S-11 structures into WCA-3A. A field study was conducted to determine the spatial distribution of P and related physical-chemical parameters in the peat soil of WCA-2A (DeBusk et al., 1993). Field sampling of the top 30 cm of soil was performed at 74 sites across WCA-2A in July, 1990. An isarithmic plot of P in the top 10 cm of soil revealed widespread enrichment of P (Figure 6), especially in areas proximal to surface inflows importing nutrient-laden water from the Everglades Agricultural Area into WCA-2A. Soluble reactive P in the porewater varied from <50 µg L⁻¹ in the interior marsh to greater than 1,000 µg L⁻¹ near inflow structures.

The porewater P data were converted from state plane coordinates to UTM coordinates and interpolated to a 20- by 20-m grid which was registered to the remote sensing derived wetland classification maps. Plate 4a depicts the 1991 SPOT HRV color composite scene (Plate 1e) draped over a three-dimensional representation of the P statistical surface, especially in areas proximal to surface inflows importing nutrient-laden water from the Everglades Agricultural Area into WCA-2A. Soluble reactive P in the porewater varied from <50 µg L⁻¹ in the interior marsh to greater than 1,000 µg L⁻¹ near inflow structures.

The 1991 Sawgrass class includes all periphyton and water in the study area (Table 4).
appears that the additional porewater P is available for plant uptake because the cattail and sawgrass/cattail distributions are located in the same region as the greatest porewater P concentrations. However, phosphorus loading may not be the only factor controlling vegetation distribution.
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